



INTERNATIONAL  
PSYCHOANALYTICAL  
ASSOCIATION

## TIMELINE FOR NEW GROUPS

1 year: Task Force is formed, works with ING and Education Committee to develop proposals for new assessment procedures when new groups apply to join the IPA (*completed: January 2019*)

1 year: ING takes Board decisions from Task Force and develops detailed processes to implement the new procedures, including reviewing Member, staffing and budget requirements. Reports to Board for consent (*completed: January 2020*)

3-5 years: length of time required for ING to complete the full assessment process for any new group.

NB: ING does not have unlimited resources: if many groups apply the timeline may be even longer.

## **PROPOSAL FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF PHASE 2 WORK**

The officers believe the scale and complexity of tasks we now need to carry out are such that it would be too big a burden for one task force (and that task force would have so many members that the logistics of organising meetings would become too complicated to enable the work to be done in a reasonable timeframe). It is therefore proposed to create the following:

- Task Force on Implementation
- Task Force on Collegial Quality Assessment
- Task Force on Representation

It is proposed to consider at a later date whether a fourth task force, on reviewing the Three Models, should be put in place.

## **TASK FORCE ON IMPLEMENTATION**

The chair and members of the Phase 1 Task Force are asked to form this new Task Force, to work with ING and Education Committee and others on developing proposals for the assessment process for when new groups apply to join the IPA.

*Suggested timeline: interim report June 2018; final report January 2019.*

## **TASK FORCE ON COLLEGIAL QUALITY ASSESSMENT (CQA)**

A Chair, one Board member from each region (nominated by each group of regional reps), and one non-Board member from each region, to work in consultation with the Psychoanalytic Education Committee, to develop proposals for a collegiate system of quality assessment (the name for this to be subject to recommendation by the Task Force), which should provide a means for sharing best practise between societies including inter-regionally, which should engage with all the training models, and which should reassure the Board (and Candidates) of the quality standards being achieved.

*Suggested timeline: interim report June 2018; final report January 2019.*

## **TASK FORCE ON REPRESENTATION**

A chair and two members from each region, these people to be very senior and respected IPA figures who are no longer actively engaged in IPA daily work, to take representations from interested parties and to make recommendations to the Board as to what might be a fairer system of democratic representation than is currently in place, taking account of demographic changes in the IPA since the current system was put in place, looking at trends for the immediate future, considering the aspiration to create a fourth IPA region for Asia-Pacific, considering the appropriate balance between Candidates, Members and retired Members, and societies (specifically including considering the recommendations of the Task Force on Relationships between the IPA and Component Organisations).

*Suggested timeline: interim reports June 2018 and January 2019; final report July 2019.*

## **TASK FORCE ON THE THREE MODELS**

Finally, it is proposed that the Board reviews in January 2019 whether it wishes to form a final new Task Force, to review the Three Models, with a view to considering whether (and how) more qualitative measures should be put in place and what other changes, if any, might be desirable.

This Task Force will need to work in a very open and consultative way, particularly involving the Education Committee and Directors of Training. Its workload is likely to be heavy and it is therefore proposed not to start this work until some of the other more pressing issues have been resolved.